

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

LUXER CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

PACKAGE CONCIERGE, INC.,

Defendant.

Court No. 1:24-cv-00603-JCG

SCHEDULING ORDER

Upon consideration of the Proposed Scheduling Order (D.I. 27), and all other papers and proceedings in this action, it is hereby

ORDERED that this case shall proceed according to the following schedule:

1. Relevant Deadlines and Dates. All relevant deadlines and dates established by this Order are set forth in the chart attached as Exhibit A.
2. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, the Parties shall make their initial disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) on or before November 27, 2024;
3. Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions. Unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties, on or before December 27, 2024, a Party claiming patent infringement shall serve on all Parties a “Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions.” Separately for each opposing Party, the

Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions shall contain the following information:

a. Each claim of each asserted patent that is allegedly infringed by each opposing Party, including for each claim the applicable statutory subsections of 35 U.S.C. § 271 asserted;

b. Separately for each asserted claim, each accused apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality (“Accused Instrumentality”) of each opposing Party of which the Party is aware. This identification shall be as specific as possible. Each product, device, and apparatus shall be identified by name or model number, if known. Each method or process shall be identified by name, if known, or by any product, device, or apparatus that, when used, allegedly results in the practice of the claimed method or process;

c. A chart identifying specifically where and how each limitation of each asserted claim is found within each Accused Instrumentality, including for each limitation that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C. § 112(f), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in the Accused Instrumentality that performs the claimed function;

d. For each claim alleged to have been indirectly infringed, an identification of any direct infringement and a description of the acts of the

alleged indirect infringer that contribute to or are inducing that direct infringement. Insofar as alleged direct infringement is based on joint acts of multiple parties, the role of each such party in the direct infringement must be described;

e. Whether each limitation of each asserted claim is alleged to be present in the Accused Instrumentality literally or under the doctrine of equivalents;

f. For any patent that claims priority to an earlier application, the priority date to which each asserted claim is alleged to be entitled;

g. If a Party claiming patent infringement wishes to preserve the right to rely, for any purpose, on the assertion that its own or its licensee's apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality practices the claimed invention, the Party shall identify, separately for each asserted claim, each such apparatus, product, device, process, method, act, or other instrumentality that incorporates or reflects that particular claim ("Embodying Instrumentality");

h. The timing of the point of first infringement, the start of claimed damages, and the end of claimed damages; and

i. If a Party claiming patent infringement alleges willful infringement, the basis for such allegation;

4. Document Production Accompanying Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions. With the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, the Party claiming patent infringement shall produce to each opposing Party or make available for inspection and copying:

- a. Documents (e.g., contracts, purchase orders, invoices, advertisements, marketing materials, offer letters, beta site testing agreements, and third party or joint development agreements) sufficient to evidence each discussion with, disclosure to, or other manner of providing to a third party, or each sale of or offer to sell, or any public use of, the claimed invention prior to the date of application for the asserted patent(s);
- b. All documents evidencing the conception, reduction to practice, design, and development of each claimed invention, which were created on or before the date of application for the asserted patent(s) or the priority date identified pursuant to paragraph 3(f) of this Order, whichever is earlier;
- c. A copy of the file history for each asserted patent;
- d. All documents evidencing ownership of the patent rights by the Party asserting patent infringement;
- e. If a Party identifies instrumentalities pursuant to paragraph 3(g) of this Order, documents sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or

elements of such instrumentalities the patent claimant relies upon as embodying any asserted claims;

f. All agreements, including licenses, transferring an interest in any asserted patent;

g. All agreements that the Party asserting infringement contends are comparable to a license that would result from a hypothetical reasonable royalty negotiation;

h. All agreements that otherwise may be used to support the party asserting infringement's damages case;

i. If a Party identifies instrumentalities pursuant to paragraph 3(g) of this Order, documents sufficient to show marking of such Embodying Instrumentalities; and if the Party wants to preserve the right to recover lost profits based on such products, the sales, revenues, costs, and profits of such Embodying Instrumentalities; and

j. All documents comprising or reflecting a F/RAND commitment or agreement with respect to the asserted patent(s).

The producing Party shall separately identify by production number the documents that correspond to each category set forth in this paragraph. A Party's production

of a document as required by this paragraph shall not constitute an admission that such document evidences or is prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

5. Invalidity Contentions. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, no later than 45 days after service upon it of the Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, each party opposing a claim of patent infringement shall serve on all parties its “Invalidity Contentions,” which shall contain the following information:

a. The identity of each item of prior art that the Party alleges anticipates each asserted claim or renders the claim obvious. Each prior art patent shall be identified by its number, country of origin, and date of issue. Each prior art publication shall be identified by its title, date of publication, and, where feasible, author and publisher. Each alleged sale or public use shall be identified by specifying the item offered for sale or publicly used or known, the date the offer or use took place or the information became known, and the identity of the person(s) or entity(ies) that made the use or made and received the offer, or the person(s) or entity(ies) that made the information known or to whom it was made known. For pre-AIA claims, prior art under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f) shall be identified by providing the name of the person(s) from whom and the circumstances under which the invention or any part of it was derived. For pre-AIA claims, prior art under

35 U.S.C. § 102(g) shall be identified by providing the identities of the person(s) or entity(ies) involved in and the circumstances surrounding the making of the invention before the patent applicant(s);

b. Whether each item of prior art anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious. If obviousness is alleged, an explanation of why the prior art renders the asserted claim obvious, including an identification of any combinations of prior art showing obviousness;

c. A chart identifying specifically where and how in each alleged item of prior art each limitation of each asserted claim is found, including, for each limitation that such party contends is governed by 35 U.S.C.

§ 112(f), the identity of the structure(s), act(s), or material(s) in each item of prior art that performs the claimed function; and

d. Any grounds of invalidity based on 35 U.S.C. § 101, indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112(b), or lack of enablement or insufficient written description under 35 U.S.C. § 112(a) of any of the asserted claims.

6. Document Production Accompanying Invalidity Contentions. With the Invalidity Contentions, the Party opposing a claim of patent infringement shall produce or make available for inspection and copying:

a. Source code, specifications, schematics, flow charts, artwork, formulas, or other documentation sufficient to show the operation of any aspects or elements of an Accused Instrumentality identified by the patent claimant in its chart produced pursuant to paragraph 3(c) of this Order;

b. A copy or sample of the prior art identified pursuant to paragraph 5(a) that does not appear in the file history of the patent(s) at issue. To the extent any such item is not in English, an English translation of the portion(s) relied upon shall be produced;

c. All agreements that the party opposing infringement contends are comparable to a license that would result from a hypothetical reasonable royalty negotiation;

d. Documents sufficient to show the sales, revenue, cost, and profits for Accused Instrumentalities identified pursuant to paragraph 3(b) of this Order for any period of alleged infringement; and

e. All agreements that may be used to support the damages case of the Party opposing infringement.

The producing party shall separately identify by production number the documents that correspond to each category set forth in this paragraph.

7. Amendment to Contentions. Amendment of the Infringement

Contentions or the Invalidity Contentions may be made only by order of the Court

upon a timely showing of good cause. Non-exhaustive examples of circumstances that may, absent undue prejudice to the nonmoving party, support a finding of good cause include (a) recent discovery of material prior art despite earlier diligent search and (b) recent discovery of nonpublic information about the Accused Instrumentality that was not discovered, despite diligent efforts, before the service of the Infringement Contentions. The duty to supplement discovery responses does not excuse the need to obtain leave of the Court to amend contentions.

8. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. Any motion regarding the pleadings or other preliminary matters shall be filed on or before April 11, 2025.

9. Discovery.

a. Discovery Cut Off. All discovery shall be completed on or before December 19, 2025.

b. Document Production. All fact discovery shall be completed on or before June 25, 2025.

c. Requests for Admission. A maximum of 30 requests for admission is permitted for each side.

d. Interrogatories. A maximum of 25 interrogatories, including contention interrogatories, is permitted for each side.

e. Depositions.

1. Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each side is limited to a total of 70 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination of fact witnesses. A Party may take more than one Rule 30(b)(6) deposition. The foregoing limitation does not apply to depositions of experts. Depositions of expert witnesses shall be limited to seven (7) hours per witness, per expert report. For purposes of this paragraph, an expert report includes both the opening and reply reports on the same topic, such that, for example, if the same expert issues an opening and reply report on invalidity, and an opening and reply report on noninfringement, that expert would be subject to one seven-hour deposition for each of their two expert reports, i.e., two seven-hour depositions. If an expert provides a report on both infringement and invalidity, that expert will be treated as having served two reports, even if infringement and invalidity are addressed in a single report.

2. Location of Depositions. Any Party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this District Court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this District. Exceptions

to this general rule may be made by order of the Court or by agreement of the Parties. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

10. Pinpoint Citations. Pinpoint citations are required in all briefing, letters, and concise statements of facts. The Court will ignore any assertions of controverted facts and controverted legal principles not supported by a pinpoint citation to, as applicable: the record, an attachment or exhibit, and/or case law or appropriate legal authority.

11. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from the date of this Order.

Any proposed protective order must include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this Order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that the information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this Order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated as confidential pursuant to

this Order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

12. Disputes Relating to Discovery Matters and Protective Orders.

Should counsel find that they are unable to resolve a dispute relating to a discovery matter or protective order, the Parties shall contact my Case Manager, Mr. Steve Taronji, by telephone at (212) 264-1611 or via e-mail at steve_taronji@cit.uscourts.gov, to arrange a video conference with the Court.

13. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel shall deliver to the Clerk an original and two copies of the papers. A redacted version of any sealed document shall be filed electronically within seven days of the filing of the sealed document.

14. Hard Copies. Parties shall file documents electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system. Electronically filed copies are deemed the original. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, Parties shall furnish one paper copy of pleadings, briefs, responses, and replies. Parties are not required to file paper copies of motions, memoranda of points and authorities, letters, stipulations, status reports, and similar shorter filings. Paper copies shall be furnished to the Clerk of Court at United States Court of International Trade, One Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278-0001. Parties need not file copies with the Clerk of Court for the

U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. Parties are not required to physically deliver original and paper copies of sealed filings to the Court.

a. Exhibits and Attachments. Parties shall provide two physical copies of documents filed in support of pleadings and briefs—appendices, exhibits, declarations, and affidavits—that exceed 50 pages in total. The Parties shall take all practical measures to avoid filing multiple copies of the same exhibit or attachment. The Parties should highlight the text of exhibits and attachments they wish the Court to read. The Parties are encouraged to include in an exhibit or attachment only the pages of the document in question that (1) identify the document (e.g., the first page of a deposition transcript or the cover page of a request for discovery) and (2) are relevant to the issue(s) before the Court.

b. Colors of Front Covers. The covers of briefs filed in connection with all motions except for motions in limine included in a pretrial order shall be as follows:

- i. Opening brief – Blue
- ii. Answering brief – Red
- iii. Reply brief – Gray

15. Claim Construction Issue Identification. On or before March 28, 2025, the Parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they

believe need construction and their proposed claim construction(s) of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the Parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be filed no later than May 9, 2025. The Joint Claim Construction Chart, in Word format, shall be e-mailed simultaneously with filing to cfc_civil@ded.uscourts.gov. The text for the Joint Claim Construction Chart shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. The Parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A separate text-searchable PDF of each of the patent(s) in issue shall be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the Parties shall not provide argument. Each Party shall file concurrently with the Joint Claim Construction Chart a "Motion for Claim Construction" that requests the Court to adopt the claim construction position(s) of that Party set forth in the Joint Claim Construction Chart. The motion shall not contain any argument and shall simply state that the Party "requests that the Court adopt the claim construction position[s] of [the Party] set forth in the Joint Claim Construction Chart (D.I. [])."

16. Claim Construction Briefing. The Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its opening brief, not to exceed 5,500 words, on June 25, 2025. The Defendant shall serve, but not file, its answering brief, not to exceed 8,250 words, on July 23, 2025. The Plaintiff shall serve, but not file, its reply brief, not to exceed 5,500 words, on August 6, 2025. The Defendant shall serve, but not file, its sur-reply brief, not to exceed 2,750 words, on August 20, 2025. The text for each brief shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. Each brief must include a certification by counsel that the brief complies with the type and number limitations set forth above. The person who prepares the certification may rely on the word count of the word-processing system used to prepare the brief.

No later than August 27, 2025, the Parties shall file a Joint Claim Construction Brief. (Should the Parties later stipulate or otherwise request to have this deadline extended, the Parties will presumptively lose their claim construction hearing date upon the Court's granting the extension.) The Parties shall copy and paste their untitled briefs into one brief, with their positions on each claim term in sequential order, in substantially the form below.

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

- I. Agreed-upon Construction
- II. Disputed Constructions

- A. [Term 1]
 - 1. Plaintiff's Opening Position
 - 2. Defendant's Answering Position
 - 3. Plaintiff's Reply Position
 - 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position

- B. [TERM 2]
 - 1. Plaintiff's Opening Position
 - 2. Defendant's Answering Position
 - 3. Plaintiff's Reply Position
 - 4. Defendant's Sur-Reply Position

Ect. The Parties need not include any general summaries of the law relating to claim construction. If there are any materials that would be submitted in an appendix, the Parties shall submit them in a Joint Appendix. Citations to intrinsic evidence shall be set forth in the Joint Claim Construction Brief. Citations to expert declarations and other extrinsic evidence may be made in the Joint Claim Construction Brief as the Parties deem necessary, but the Court will review such extrinsic evidence only if the Court is unable to construe the disputed claim terms based on the intrinsic evidence. See Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576, 1584 (Fed. Cir. 1996). Declarations shall not contain legal argument or be used to circumvent the briefing word limitations imposed by this paragraph. The Joint Claim Construction Brief and Joint Appendix shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of this Order.

17. Meet and Confer Confirmation and Amended Claim Chart. On or before September 10, 2025, Delaware and lead counsel for the Parties shall meet and confer and thereafter file an Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart that sets forth the terms that remain in dispute. During the meet and confer, the Parties shall attempt to reach agreement on any disputed terms where possible and to narrow the issues related to the remaining disputed terms. The Parties shall file with the Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart a letter that identifies by name each individual who participated in the meet and confer, when and how (i.e., by telephone or in person) the meet and confer occurred, and how long it lasted. If no agreements on constructions have been reached or if no dispute has been narrowed as a result of the meet and confer, the letter shall so state, and the Parties need not file an Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart.

18. Hearing on Claim Construction. Beginning at 1:00 PM on September 30, 2025, the Court will hear argument on claim construction. The hearing will address claim construction for three cases: Luxer Corporation v. ButterflyMX, Inc., Case Number 24-cv-00602, Luxer Corporation v. Package Concierge, Inc., Case Number 24-cv-00603, and Luxer Corporation v. Parcel Pending, Inc., Case Number 24-cv-00604. Absent prior approval of the Court (which, if it is sought, must be done by joint letter submission no later than the date on which answering claim construction briefs are due to be served), the Parties shall not present

testimony at the argument, and the argument for the three cases shall not exceed a total of four hours in total.

19. Disclosure of Expert Testimony.

a. Expert Reports. For the Party with the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is due on or before February 6, 2026. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another Party is due on or before March 6, 2026. Reply expert reports from the Party with the initial burden of proof are due on or before April 3, 2026. No other expert reports will be permitted without either the consent of all Parties or leave of the Court. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the Parties shall provide the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition. Depositions of experts shall be completed on or before May 1, 2026.

b. Objections to Expert Testimony. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

20. Case Dispositive and Daubert Motions.

a. No Early Motions Without Leave. All case dispositive motions and the opening briefs and affidavits supporting such motions shall be served and filed on or before May 29, 2026. No case dispositive motion under Rule 56 may be filed more than ten days before this date without leave of the Court. Responsive briefs to any motions under Rule 56 shall be served and filed on or before June 26, 2026. Reply briefs for any motions under Rule 56 shall be due on or before July 17, 2026. Should the Parties later stipulate or otherwise request to have the reply brief deadline extended, the Parties will lose their trial date upon the Court's granting the extension, and no new trial date will be given until the dispositive motion(s) has(have) been decided.

b. Motions to Be Filed Separately. A Party shall not combine into a single motion multiple motions that rely in whole or in part on different facts.

c. Word Limits. Each Party is permitted to file as many case dispositive motions as desired, provided, however, that each SIDE will be limited to a combined total of 10,000 words for all opening briefs, a combined total of 10,000 words for all answering briefs, and a combined total of 5,000 words for all reply briefs, regardless of the number of case

dispositive motions that are filed. In the event that a Party files, in addition to a case dispositive motion, a Daubert motion to exclude or preclude all or any portion of an expert's testimony, the total amount of words permitted for all case dispositive and Daubert motions shall be increased for each SIDE to 12,500 words for all opening briefs, 12,500 words for all answering briefs, and 6,250 words for all reply briefs. The text for each brief shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. Each brief must include a certification by counsel that the brief complies with the type and number limitations set forth above. The person who prepares the certification may rely on the word count of the word-processing system used to prepare the brief.

d. Ranking of Summary Judgment Motions. A Party that files more than one summary judgment motion shall number each motion to make clear the order in which the Party wishes the Court to consider the motions in question. The first motion the Party wishes the Court to consider shall be designated #1, the second motion shall be designated #2, and so on. The Court will review the Party's summary judgment motions in the order designated by the Party. If the Court decides to deny a motion filed by the Party, barring exceptional reasons determined sua sponte by the Court, the

Court will not review any further summary judgment motions filed by the Party.

e. Ranking Daubert Motions. A party that files more than one Daubert motion shall number each motion to make clear the order in which the Party wishes the Court to consider the motions in question. The first motion the Party wishes the Court to consider shall be designated #1, the second motion shall be designated #2, and so on. The Court will review the Party's Daubert motions in the order designated by the Party. If the Court decides to deny a motion filed by the Party, barring exceptional reasons determined sua sponte by the Court, the Court will not review any further Daubert motions filed by the Party. If the Court denies a Daubert motion and the Party that brought the motion does not cross examine the expert witness at trial about the matters raised in the Daubert motion, the Court will reduce by an appropriate amount the time allotted to that Party at trial.

f. Concise Statement of Facts Requirement. Any motion for summary judgment shall be accompanied by a separate concise statement detailing each material fact as to which the moving Party contends that there are no genuine issues to be tried that are essential for the Court's

determination of the summary judgment motion (not the entire case).¹ A Party must submit a separate concise statement of facts for each summary judgment motion. Any Party that opposes the motion shall file and serve with its opposing papers a separate document containing a single concise statement that admits or disputes the facts set forth in the moving Party's concise statement, as well as sets forth all material facts as to which it is contended that there exists a genuine issue necessary to be litigated.

g. Focus of the Concise Statement. When preparing the separate concise statement, a Party shall reference only the material facts that are absolutely necessary for the Court to determine the limited issues presented in the motion for summary judgment (and no others), and each reference shall contain a citation to a particular affidavit, deposition, or other document that supports the party's interpretation of the material fact. Documents referenced in the concise statement may, but need not, be filed in their entirety if a Party concludes that the full context would be helpful to the Court (e.g., a deposition miniscript with an index stating what pages may contain key words may often be useful). The concise statement shall

¹ The party must detail each material fact in its concise statement of facts. The concise statements of facts play an important gatekeeping role in the Court's consideration of summary judgment motions.

particularly identify the page and portion of the page of the document referenced. The document referred to shall have relevant portions highlighted or otherwise emphasized. The Parties may extract and highlight the relevant portions of each referenced document, but they shall ensure that enough of a document is attached to put the matter in context. If a Party determines that an entire deposition transcript should be submitted, the party should consider whether a miniscript would be preferable to a full-size transcript. If an entire transcript is submitted, the index of terms appearing in the transcript must be included, if it exists. When multiple pages from a single document are submitted, the pages shall be grouped in a single exhibit. Concise statements of fact shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of this Order.

h. Word Limits for Concise Statements. The concise statement in support of or in opposition to a motion for summary judgment shall be no longer than 1,750 words. The text for each statement shall be 14-point and in Times New Roman or a similar typeface. Each statement must include a certification by counsel that the statement complies with the type and number limitations set forth above. The person who prepares the certification may rely on the word count of the word-processing system used to prepare the statement.

i. Affidavits and Declarations. Affidavits or declarations setting forth facts and/or authenticating exhibits, as well as exhibits themselves, shall be attached only to the concise statement (i.e., not briefs).

j. Scope of Judicial Review. When resolving motions for summary judgment, the Court shall have no independent duty to search and consider any part of the record not otherwise referenced in the separate concise statements of the Parties. Further, the Court shall have no independent duty to review exhibits in their entirety, but rather the Court will review only those portions of the exhibits specifically identified in the concise statements. Material facts set forth in the moving Party's concise statement will be deemed admitted unless controverted by a separate concise statement of the opposing Party.

21. Application by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

22. Pretrial Conference. On December 14, 2026, the Court will hold a Rule 16(e) final pretrial conference in court with counsel beginning at 2:00 PM. The Parties shall file a joint proposed final pretrial order in compliance with Local Rule 16.3 no later than 5:00 p.m. on November 23, 2026. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the Parties shall comply with the timeframes set forth in

Local Rule 16.3(d) for the preparation of the proposed joint final pretrial order.

The joint pretrial order shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of this Order.

23. Motions in Limine. Motions in limine shall not be separately filed.

All in limine requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each Party shall be limited to three in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. Each in limine request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each in limine request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument, and the Party making the in limine request may add a maximum of one additional page in reply in support of its request. If more than one Party is supporting or opposing an in limine request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three-page submission (and, for the moving Parties, a single one-page reply). No separate briefing shall be submitted on in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. Motions in limine shall comply with paragraphs 10 and 14 of this Order.

24. Compendium of Cases. A Party may submit with any briefing two courtesy copies of a compendium of the selected authorities on which the party would like the Court to focus. The Parties should not include in the compendium authorities for general principles or uncontested points of law (e.g., the standards for summary judgment or claim construction). An authority that is cited only once

by a party generally should not be included in the compendium. An authority already provided to the Court by another Party should not be included in the compendium. Compendiums of cases shall not be filed electronically with the Court, but a notice of service of a compendium of cases shall be filed electronically with the Court. Compendiums shall comply with paragraph 14 of this Order.

25. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47.1(a)(2) and 51.1, the Parties should file (i) proposed voir dire, (ii) preliminary jury instructions, (iii) final jury instructions, and (iv) special verdict forms no later than 5:00 p.m. on November 23, 2026. The Parties shall submit simultaneously with filing each of the foregoing four documents in Word format to cfc_civil@ded.uscourts.gov.

26. Trial. This matter is scheduled for a five-day jury trial beginning at 8:30 a.m. on January 11, 2027, with the subsequent trial days beginning at 8:30 a.m. Until the case is submitted to the jury for deliberations, the jury will be excused each day at 4:30 p.m. The trial will be timed, as counsel will be allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.

27. Willfulness and Damages. As agreed to by the Parties, the trial will not be phased such that the issues of willful infringement and damages will be tried together with liability issues.

28. Amendment of this Scheduling Order. While the Court will consider timely extension requests based on a showing of good cause, the Parties should seek to limit the need for extensions to short periods to address unexpected conflicts. The Court notes that the dates in this Order were proposed by the Parties and the Court expects that the Parties will be able to meet these deadlines.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 6th day of November 2024.

/s/ Jennifer Choe-Groves

Jennifer Choe-Groves
U.S. District Court Judge *

* Judge Jennifer Choe-Groves, of the United States Court of International Trade, sitting by designation.

Exhibit A

Relevant Deadlines

Event	Deadline
Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures	November 27, 2024
Initial Infringement Contentions	December 27, 2024
Initial Invalidity Contentions	45 Days After Infringement Contentions
Exchange List of Claim Terms and Proposed Constructions	March 28, 2025
Joinder of Parties	April 11, 2025
File Joint Claim Construction Chart	May 9, 2025
Plaintiff Serves Opening Claim Construction Brief	June 25, 2025
Defendant Serves Responsive Claim Construction Brief	July 23, 2025
Plaintiff Serves Reply Claim Construction Brief	August 6, 2025
Defendant Serves Sur-Reply Claim Construction Brief	August 20, 2025
File Joint Claim Construction Briefs	August 27, 2025
File Amended Joint Claim Construction Chart	September 10, 2025
Claim Construction Hearing	September 30, 2025
Fact Discovery Cutoff	December 19, 2025
Serve Opening Expert Reports for Which the Party Bears the Initial Burden of Proof	February 6, 2026
Serve Responsive Expert Reports	March 6, 2026
Serve Reply Expert Reports	April 3, 2026
Expert Discovery Cutoff	May 1, 2026
File Dispositive and <u>Daubert</u> Motions	May 29, 2026
File Responsive Briefs to Dispositive and <u>Daubert</u> Motions	June 26, 2026
File Reply Briefs to Dispositive and <u>Daubert</u> Motions	July 17, 2026
File Joint Proposed Final Pretrial Order	November 23, 2026
Submit Proposed Voir Dire, Preliminary Jury Instructions, Final Jury Instructions, and Special Verdict Forms	November 23, 2026
Pretrial Conference	December 14, 2026
5-day Jury Trial Begins	January 11, 2027